

## Cracking the Facility Problem?

#### Theories of Change for Adaptation at Scale







Australian Government

#### **#PNGAusPartnership**

Supported by the Australian Government as part of the **PNG-Australia Governance Partnership** implemented by Abt Associates

## PNG-Australia Governance Partnership





### A first attempt...





#### Partnership Impact:

PNG's national, sub-national and inter-governmental institutions are better able to provide quality services and development outcomes that respond to the needs of citizens.



Outcome: Polices and systems will be in place and implemented that support service delivery and economic development at national and sub-national levels							
Theory of Change Elements [Assumptions]		Evaluative Questions	Progress Markers	Indicators	Means of Verification	Timi ng	
P 1	To be implementable, policies and systems align with existing capabilities at sub- national levels or contribute to developing these capabilities. [Policies and systems at the national level can be implemented at sub-national levels.]	Is there adequate capacity development for local officials on national policies? Is there adequate human and financial resource provision to support national policies? Do local officials feel national policies are compatible, coherent, and converging?	Expect: National agencies adequately disseminate national policies. Like: National agencies provide human and financial support for the implementation of national policies. Love: An increasingly cohesive whole-of- government approach to decentralisation policy implementation is accepted, understood, and implemented.	Number of District Development Authority (DDA) CEOs, Pas, and DAs that understand their role in national policies.	District Status Update PCMC reports DPLGA S119 PLLSMA reports Local capability Assessment	Ann ual Ong oing	



# A policy example



	Issue area	GoPNG state-citizen engagement	
	Clear project outcomes	Families and communities in PNG are empowered and able to access services for their development through government policy and systems that are implemented Gender and Inclusion	
	Integrate program 1, 2, 3, GESI, Comms, C4D, KAL and MEL	P1 – STA – ICDP Policy Review, activity support (ICDP implementation)	
		P2 – Activity Support (ICDP implementation through SNA workplans and local solutions)	
		P3 – Media, Social Accountability (State-citizen engagement)	
		C4D – ICDP & disability communications, C4D forum	
		GESI – ICDP/disability training initiatives	
		MEL – Case study / baseline	
		KAL – Disability database	
		PSLR – DfCDR training, WiL	
	Team of teams (DCPP+)	Program 1, Program 2, Program 3, C4D, GESI, PSLR	
	Accountability	Program 1 – Senior Program Manager	

### Some MEL things we puzzle over...



- Policy indicators
- Baselines vs. outcome mapping and harvesting
- Language barriers
- Citizens